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Abstract

As a cloud computing platform, OpenStack must support the concept of multi-tenancy. A common approach to organizing resources by 'tenant' across services is needed to be able to correlate usage tracking, auditing, authorization, and so forth. Within each multi-tenant service, the ability to identify each tenant's resources is also key. However, the definition of a 'tenant' will vary by operator and by deployment. This blueprint therefore proposes creating a lightweight standard for service developers to implement tenancy and resource grouping without a-priori knowledge of billing and accounting processes specific to the operator of an OpenStack deployment.
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Rationale and Goals

Building multi-tenant services is complicated and often involves knowledge of business processes that vary from one organization to another. We propose a method of organizing resources that allows multi-tenancy to be implemented on top of OpenStack services. By doing so we introduce a separation of concern between operators and service developers. Service developers offer management to accounts. From their perspective accounts are simply collection of resources. Operators manage tenants that may be associated with one or more accounts. This approach lowers barriers to service developers by allowing them to develop services without a-priori knowledge of billing and accounting processes of the organization in which the services are deployed. Likewise, organizations will be given flexibility in the manner in which they deploy and offer OpenStack services. In this blueprint, we define a simple account admin API that facilitates and standardizes on this approach.

Specification Overview

Account Lifecycle

From the perspective of a service developer an account ID is simply an arbitrary string that is used to organize resources. We propose that a string be used as a top level resource collection after the version identifier: /version/accountId. Placing the account ID as a top level container dictates that all client requests are automatically associated with an account. Requests to create accounts or move resources between accounts are received via an admin API which is described in detail in the next section. Developers are responsible for ensuring that all usage metrics contain the account ID string.
Service operators, on the other hand, are responsible for organizing resources around tenants for the purposes of billing and authorization. Operators use account IDs to help organize service resources. They provide their tenants with API endpoints that contain the account ID embedded in the URI. The operator can then collect usage logs from the service and aggregate necessary usage metrics in order to charge back usage to the tenant.

The relationships among tenants, operators, and services are illustrated in detail in the figure below.

**Figure 1. Multi-Tenancy Overview**

**Admin API**

A service API is an API that’s made available to most clients — in most cases it is the public API that users consume. In contrast, an admin API is an implementation of the service API with additional calls to allow for the management and maintenance of the service. The admin API is consumed strictly by operators. Calls whose effects span multiple accounts should be placed in an admin API. Admin APIs SHOULD NOT be exposed via public endpoints and SHOULD have tighter security constraints than those of service APIs. We recommend that admin API users and service API users authenticate against separate authentication systems. All OpenStack services MUST implement an admin API.

**Note**

The requirement for an additional admin API does not necessarily dictate that two separate implementations of the API be written. Service teams may opt to write a single implementation of the API and expose it via two separate endpoints: a public endpoint and an admin endpoint. In the public endpoint, reverse proxy filters may be employed to cull admin calls before they reach the service implementation. A different authentication component may also be used at each endpoint to interact with separate authentication systems.
In the following sections, we propose a set of calls that MUST be implemented by admin APIs in OpenStack and an optional set that SHOULD be implemented. Together these calls allow for a simple and consistent admin API for the management of accounts in OpenStack.

**Required Operations**

The following operations MUST be implemented by OpenStack services and MUST be made available via the admin API. At their discretion, service operators MAY provide public access to GET and HEAD operations via the service API. The PUT and DELETE calls, however, SHOULD be accessible from the admin API only.

### Get Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GET</td>
<td>/version/accountId</td>
<td>Get Account.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 200, 203, 204

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …

Services are not required to provide a representation of an account on a GET request. If a representation is returned, it SHOULD provide information about the account along with account metadata. Additionally, the representation MAY contain a list of top level account resources. The actual format of the representation is service-specific.

If a service returns an account representation, it should return either a response code of 200 (Okay) or 203 (Non-Authoritative Information) if the request is cached. If a service does not return a representation, then it MUST return a 204 (No Content). Generally, a response code in the 200s signifies that the account exists and is valid. A 404 (Not Found) signifies that the account does not exist and a 410 (Gone) means that the account has recently been marked for deletion, is currently unavailable, and may be recoverable. Services may provide an additional operation to recover a recently removed account.

### Get Account Metadata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEAD</td>
<td>/version/accountId</td>
<td>Get Account Metadata.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 204

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …

A HEAD operation MAY return metadata for an account. If it does, it MUST return the same metadata that would be returned via a GET operation. The response to this call MUST only contain HTTP headers. As with GET requests, a 204 (No Content) signifies that the account exists and is valid. A 404 (Not Found) signifies that the account does not exist and a 410 (Gone) means that the account has recently been marked for deletion, is currently unavailable, and may be recoverable. Again, services may provide an additional operation to recover a recently removed account. The HEAD operation may be used as a shorthand for GET in cases where the service returns a representation document but the client is not interested in it.

### Create an Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUT</td>
<td>/version/accountId</td>
<td>Create or Modify an Account.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 201, 202,

Error Response Code(s): 409, others …

A **PUT** operation can be used to create or (optionally) modify an account. If a service provides a representation for an account, the representation **SHOULD** be included as part of the **PUT** request and it **SHOULD** match the representation returned by **GET**. One possible use of an account representation is to keep track of an account's tier in cases where the service offers different levels of performance at different tiers. Here, an operator may create a new account and assign it to a tier with a single **PUT** request. The operator may also update an account's tier by performing additional **PUT**s on the account. On success, a 201 (Created) should be returned when the account is created and a 202 (Accepted) should be returned when the account is modified.

In cases where the account representation offers a list of account resources, the **PUT** operation **SHOULD NOT** be used to add resources to or remove resources from the account. Services **MUST** ensure that **PUT** requests are idempotent. If an account does not have a representation, or the representation is not updatable, a 409 (Conflict) may be returned to indicate that an account with the given ID has already been created and may not be updated.

Note that a **PUT** operation is used to create a new account *with an account ID*. This means that the operator is in complete control of the account ID value and that the account ID is *not* generated by the service. That said, the following are the properties of an account ID that service implementers can rely on.

1. The account ID is a string in the UTF-8 character set.
2. The UTF-8 string will not be greater than 255 character units and it will not be empty.
3. The string may contain any character other than the path separator: `/`.
4. The UTF-8 string will be properly encoded in the request URL according to the encoding rules defined in RFC 1738 [1]. Services **MAY** reject improperly encoded URLs.

An OpenStack service should make no assumptions about the account ID other than those listed above. As a result, services **MUST** set aside 255 character units for storing account IDs. Services should also consider long account IDs when imposing limits on the size of a request URL.

The following are examples of account IDs and their encoded URLs:

### Example 1. Example accounts IDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>accountId</strong></th>
<th>Sample Encoded URL</th>
<th>Valid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12345</td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/12345/widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/12345/widgets</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob's Account</td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/Bob's%20Account/widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/Bob's%20Account/widgets</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ∞∆Π</td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/%E2%88%91%E2%88%91%E2%88%86%E2%88%8F/widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/%E2%88%91%E2%88%91%E2%88%86%E2%88%8F/widgets</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resel:sub:acct</td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/resel1:sub2:acct3/widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/resel1:sub2:acct3/widgets</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resel\sub\acct</td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/resel1%5Csub2%5Cacct3/widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0/resel1\sub2\acct3/widgets</a></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0//widgets">https://widgets.openstack.com/v1.0//widgets</a></td>
<td>No, empty.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The restrictions placed on account IDs SHOULD be described in the admin API documentation and MAY also be documented in the admin WADL. An example WADL is illustrated below.

**Example 2. Account ID Sample WADL Definition**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<application xmlns="http://wadl.dev.java.net/2009/02"
             xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
             xmlns:w="http://widget.openstack.com/widget/api/v1.0">

  <grammars>
    <schema elementFormDefault="qualified"
             attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
             targetNamespace="http://widget.openstack.com/widget/api/v1.0"
             xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
      <simpleType name="AccountID">
        <restriction base="xsd:string">
          <pattern value="[^/]+" />  
        </restriction>
      </simpleType>
    </schema>
  </grammars>

  <resources base="https://widget.openstack.com/widget/api/v1.0">
    <resource path="{accountId}"/>
      <param name="accountId" style="template" type="w:AccountID"/>
    </resource>
  </resources>

</application>
```

Note that the account ID pattern is very simple. Account IDs must contain one or more characters not matching the path separator: `/`.

Here we define `accountId` as a URI template parameter of type AccountID. The fact that we define the AccountID type so that it restricts the use of the path separator character is redundant in this case because template parameters do not allow values with path separators. Nonetheless, we define the AccountID type in order to be explicit and in case the type is used elsewhere.

### Remove an Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELETE</td>
<td><code>/version/accountId</code></td>
<td>Remove an Account.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 204

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …
A **DELETE** operation is used to remove an account. An account's resources *SHOULD* be deleted after an account has been removed. That said, resources *SHOULD* remain recoverable and in a deleted state for a period of time before they are actually removed. This prevents data loss in cases involving human error. The **DELETE** operation *SHOULD* always return asynchronously. On success it should return a 204 (No Content). The operation should return a 404 (Not Found) if the account does not exist and a 410 (Gone) if the account has already been marked for deletion and is still in a recoverable state. Services may provide an additional operation to recover accounts that have been marked for deletion but have not yet been removed.

### Optional Operations

The following operations *SHOULD* be implemented by OpenStack services, but it is not a strict requirement that services support them. The operations involve moving resources from one account to another. There are a number of use cases where such moves are necessary, and the operations below allow these use cases to be implemented in an efficient manner. If a service team should decide not to include support for the following calls it is recommended that, at the very least, a manual operational process exists that provides the ability to transfer resources between accounts.

#### Move a Resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>/version/accountId/path/to/resource/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Move a Resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 303, 301

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …

A **POST** operation on a move action URL of a resource (…/path/to/resource/action/move) causes the resource specified by the path to move to the account in the `dest` URL parameter. The operation does not require a content body. On success, the service should return a 303 (See Other) with a `Location` header pointing to the resource's new home. The service should respond with a 404 (Not Found) if the resource does not exist or 410 (Gone) if the resource has been recently deleted. Additionally, a service may respond with a 301 (Moved Permanently) if the resource has already been moved. In this case, the `Location` header should point to the move action URL in the new resource location.

After the resource has been moved a service may respond with either a 404 (Not Found) or a 301 (Moved Permanently) to a **GET** request on the resource itself (…/path/to/resource). The 301 response must contain a `Location` header with an URL pointing to the resource's new location.

#### Move all Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>/version/accountId/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Moves all resources into a destination account.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 204, 202

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …

A **POST** operation on a move action URL of an account (/version/accountId/action/move) causes all resources in that account to move to the account specified by the `dest` URL parameter. This operation
is very similar to the operation described above, except that it moves all resources in the account instead of a single resource. It is important to note that the account MUST NOT be deleted automatically after resources have been moved. Instead, an operator must explicitly issue a DELETE on the account. On success, the call should return a 303 (See Other) with a Location header pointing to the destination account. The service should respond with a 404 (Not Found) if the account does not exist or 410 (Gone) if the account has been recently deleted. A service may respond with either a 404 (Not Found) or a 301 (Moved Permanently) on a GET request on a previously moved resource. The 301 response must contain a Location header with an URL pointing to the resource's location in the new account.

Ensuring Consistency

The move operations above assume that resources are logically, and not physically, organized into accounts. In this case, move operations are virtual and can occur without the need to ensure consistency between resources as they move from one account to another. There may be cases, however, where accounts provide a physical organization of resources. For example, accounts may be placed in different service tiers and the tiers may be distributed among different sets of nodes in a cluster. Here, resources must be physically moved from one node to another, and operators must be assured that a resource is in a consistent state before it can be moved. The operations below allow for consistent moves by utilizing a move action resource.

Get a Move Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GET</td>
<td>/version/accountId/path/to/resource/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Get resource move action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET</td>
<td>/version/accountId/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Get all resource move action.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 200, 203

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, others …

A move action helps coordinate states as resources are moved from one account to another. Move actions must be acquired in cases where operators wish to ensure consistency between moves. An operator acquires a move action by performing a GET on the move action URL of either a specific resource (…/path/to/resource/action/move) or of an entire account (/version/accountId/action/move). The destination account of the move must be specified in the dest URL parameter. An example request is illustrated below.

Example 3. Get Move Action Request

GET /v1.0/17776666/action/move?dest=176625343 HTTP/1.1
Host: service.openstack.com
Example 4. Get Move Action Response (Full)

HTTP/1.1 200 Okay
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2010 15:55:01 GMT
Content-Type: application/xml; charset=UTF-8
ETag: "d8a5179a69519b32de12cad41705ed694790ffc"

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?><move xmlns="http://service.openstack.com/actions"
dest="176625343">
  <accounts>
    <account id="17776666">
      .
    </account>
    <account id="176625343">
      .
    </account>
  </accounts>
  <resources>
    <resource id="1">
      .
    </resource>
    <resource id="2">
      .
    </resource>
  </resources>
</move>

The response to the move action request is service-specific. The purpose of the response is to allow operators to confirm resource state before a move is requested. Thus the response MUST contain information about the state of resources and accounts that are affected by the move. An entity tag (Etag) header MUST be included in the response. The header MUST contain a quoted opaque string that uniquely identifies the response. In the example above we use a SHA1 digest of the response text. There may be cases where the number of resources affected by the move is very large. In these cases, the response SHOULD NOT contain a list of all resources affected, but rather it SHOULD contain a tag that uniquely identifies the current state of the affected resources. The response SHOULD also contain metadata that is common to all resources affected by the move. This is illustrated in the example below.
Example 5. Get Move Action Response (Tagged)

HTTP/1.1 200 Okay
Date: Mon, 12 Nov 2010 15:55:01 GMT
Content-Type: application/xml; charset=UTF-8
ETag: "50d935685fc4d998e202f44694371875d4dfebb7"

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<move xmlns="http://service.openstack.com/actions"
      dest="176625343">
  <accounts>
    <account id="17776666">
      .
      .
      .
    </account>
    <account id="176625343">
      .
      .
      .
    </account>
  </accounts>
  <resources tag="f152f9be36f69f0b162b32fe2beed8c61b99e69b"
            size="10000" total-usage="2.5TB"/>
</move>
```

Note that the tag in the content of the message is different from the one supplied via the ETag. The ETag uniquely identifies the move action response. The tag in the content identifies the state of all of the resources affected. Conceptually, one can think of it as the sum of all of the ETags of the affected resources. It is also important to note that a change in the tag will cause the ETag to change.

On success, a request for a move action should return a response code of 200 (Okay) or 203 (Non-Authoritative Information) if the request is cached. Services should respond with a 404 (Not Found) if the account or resources does not exist. A return code of 410 (Gone) signifies that the account has been recently deleted.

Conditional Move

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>/version/accountId/path/to/resource/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Perform a conditional move operation on a resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>/version/accountId/action/move?dest=accountId</td>
<td>Perform a conditional move operation on all resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Response Code(s): 200, 203

Error Response Code(s): 404, 410, 412, others …
Conditional moves work exactly like unconditional move requests except that an If-Match header should be included containing the ETag of the move action. An example request is illustrated below.

**Example 6. Conditional Move Request**

```
POST /v1.0/17776666/action/move?dest=176625343 HTTP/1.1
Host: service.openstack.com
If-Match: "d8a5179a69519b32de12cad41705edd694790ffc"
Content-Type: application/xml
```

Here the move should fail with a 412 (Precondition Failed) if any change in state has occurred between GET request and the POST request.

**Questions and Answers**

1. Why go through the trouble of obtaining a move action? Why not simply fail a move request if a resource is in an unmovable state?

   If a service can detect an unmovable state then it should certainly fail the move operation. That said, whether or not a resource is movable depends on the specific deployment. For example, an operator may have a rule that accounts are only allowed to have 100 resources. The move action request allows operators to enforce the rule on moves.
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